

Talbot County CRRF – Score Sheet

Pathway 1: Small Community Grants APPLICANT: _____ Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Narrative Application (75 points + bonus points)

Overall Alignment with CRRF Purpose & Priorities (20 points)

1	2	3	4	5
Little or no alignment	Limited alignment	General alignment, somewhat vague or indirect	Good alignment with minor gaps and limited depth	Strong, clear alignment with CRRF purpose & priorities
Score (1-5) ____ x 4 = ____				

Community Focus & Geography; Knowing Who Is Served (10 points)

1	2	3	4	5
Unclear or unsupported	Significant assumptions without detail/data or unclear community focus	Population and location identified but limited detail/data	Mostly clear; minor gaps in geography or population clarity	Clearly identifies who benefits and where work occurs
Score (1-5) ____ x 2 = ____				

Lived Experience & Community Voice (10 points)

1	2	3	4	5
Not addressed	Minimal or symbolic	Some involvement; largely consultative	Clear involvement; limited role	Meaningful & central
Score (1-5) ____ x 2 = ____				

Knowing Who You Serve (15 points)

1	2	3	4	5
Not addressed	Relies largely on assumption or generalizations	Some explanation but lacks specificity and data	Explanation mostly clear with minor gaps or incomplete data	Clear explanation of how organization verifies it is reaching the intended population(s)
Score (1-5) ____ x 3 = ____				

Outcomes & Learning (10 points)

1	2	3	4	5
No clear outcomes described	Limited clarity about intended change or progress tracking	Focuses more on activities than outcomes; measurement limited	Outcomes identified; measurement approach mostly clear	Clear description of intended change; realistic approach to measuring progress or success
Score (1-5) ____ x 2 = ____				

Talbot County CRRF – Score Sheet

Data Collection (10 points)

1	2	3	4	5
Not prepared to collect required data	Minimal readiness; unclear plan	Limited current tools; willing to build capacity	Collects basic data	Clear description of intended change; realistic plan to measure progress or success
Score (1-5) ____ x 2 = ____				

Collaboration (5 points) – BONUS POINTS

4	5
Collaboration present and generally clear	Collaboration clearly strengthens project; roles are defined
Score (1-5) ____ x 1 = ____	

Budget (25 points)

Budget Accuracy and Alignment (15 points)

1	2	3	4	5
Errors, no calculations, or costs do not align	Calculation errors or alignment concerns	Calculations understandable but some items unclear	Calculations mostly accurate, minor questions	Calculations accurate, expenses align with activities and CRRF priorities
Score (1-5): ____ x 3 = ____				

Budget Scale & Reasonableness (10 points)

1	2	3	4	5
Budget unrealistic	Costs are poorly scaled	Mostly appropriate but uneven	Mostly reasonable with minor concerns	Costs are realistic & scaled for project
Score (1-5): ____ x 2 = ____				

SCORE: Narrative _____ + Budget _____ = _____

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

Talbot County CRRF – Score Sheet

Pathway 2: Community Impact Grants APPLICANT: _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Narrative Application (75 points)

Community Focus, Lived Experience & Knowing Who You Serve (15 points)

- 1 – Little or no clarity about population served or alignment with disproportionately impacted and/or low-income communities
- 2 – Limited clarity; relies on assumptions; lacks detail/data
- 3 – General identification of population and geography; limited depth
- 4 – Mostly clear on who is served, where work occurs, and how reach is determined
- 5 – Strong, clear identification of community and population served; meaningful focus on disproportionately impacted and/or low-income communities; clear explanation of how organization verifies reach

Score (1–5): ____ x 3 = ____

Priority & Purpose Alignment (20 points)

- 1 – Little or no connection to CRRF purpose or selected priority area
- 2 – Limited or indirect alignment
- 3 – General alignment but somewhat vague
- 4 – Good alignment with minor gaps
- 5 – Strong, intentional alignment with CRRF purpose and selected priority area; clearly addresses the conditions CRRF is intended to repair

Score (1–5): ____ x 4 = ____

Collaboration, Partnerships & Community Leadership (10 points)

- 1 – Collaboration in name only
- 2 – Limited or weak collaboration; little clarity of value
- 3 – Collaboration identified but limited detail on roles or impact
- 4 – Clear collaboration; roles defined; adds value
- 5 – Strong, intentional collaboration; roles clearly defined and documented; demonstrates shared leadership and strengthens systems-level impact

Score (1–5): ____ x 2 = ____

Outcomes, Impact & Learning (10 points)

- 1 – No clear intended outcomes
- 2 – Limited clarity about intended change or progress tracking
- 3 – Focuses more on activities than impact; measurement limited
- 4 – Outcomes identified; measurement approach mostly clear
- 5 – Clear description of intended change at participant and/or community level; realistic, thoughtful approach to measuring progress and learning

Score (1–5): ____ x 2 = ____

Data Collection Capacity (10 points)

- 1 – Not prepared to collect required data
- 2 – Minimal readiness; unclear plan
- 3 – Limited tools; willing to build capacity
- 4 – Collects basic participation data; reasonable plan
- 5 – Demonstrates readiness to collect basic program data (including race/ethnicity); tools identified; clear understanding of reporting expectations

Score (1–5): ____ x 2 = ____

Community Impact Grants - Organizational Readiness & Feasibility (10 points)

- 1 – Unclear plan; unrealistic timeline or scope
- 2 – Significant feasibility concerns
- 3 – Some readiness but notable gaps
- 4 – Reasonable readiness and timeline
- 5 – Strong readiness; realistic timeline; demonstrates capacity to implement and manage project effectively

Score (1–5): ____ x 2 = ____

Budget (25 points)

Budget Accuracy & Alignment (15 points)

- 1 – Errors, no calculations, or costs do not align
- 2 – Calculation errors or alignment concerns
- 3 – Calculations understandable but some items unclear
- 4 – Calculations mostly accurate; minor questions
- 5 – Calculations accurate; expenses clearly support proposed strategy and CRRF purpose

Score (1–5): ____ x 3 = ____

Budget Scale & Reasonableness (10 points)

- 1 – Budget unrealistic
- 2 – Costs poorly scaled to scope
- 3 – Mostly appropriate but uneven
- 4 – Mostly reasonable with minor concerns
- 5 – Costs realistic, proportional, and demonstrate responsible stewardship of funds

Score (1–5): ____ x 2 = ____

TOTAL SCORE: Narrative _____ + Budget _____ = _____

Reviewer Comments: